

Dec. 8, 2009 (#467)

# Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 8, 2009:

## Copenhagen Summit, Our Rights -- They Burn it:

"Con-Men and Fanatics Meet at Copenhagen  
Where All Our Rights will be Forsaken,  
Totalitarian World for Me and You,  
To Save Us All from CO2,  
Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases,  
Under New Guise, World Soviet Passes,  
The Commie-Fasci-Capi Pact  
Has Trained for Years for this New Act,  
Using Same Slogans 'For Greater Good'  
They'll Take Your Income, Ration Food,  
While Academia and Science Dance a Jig,  
Promised the Good Life, Each Greedy Pig  
Ensures Each Child Brainwashed to Serve,  
There's Plenty of Goebbels, Plenty of Nerve"

© Alan Watt Dec. 8, 2009

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 8, 2009 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://.us) , [.ca](http://.ca)

[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenknness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenknness.com)

---

## Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal  
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders:** USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on December 8<sup>th</sup> 2009. Newcomers, as always, I suggest you go into [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) web site and scroll down, bookmark all the other sites I have up there for future use because I do get problems once in a while with the big servers. If you find sticking too when you're downloading from the .com site, go to one of these alternate sites because you might get through quicker. Everyone goes into the .com site at the same time and that causes some problems. These are the only official sites I have up so I'm responsible for them. [Sites listed above.]

You are the listeners who bring me to you. I always tell the public out there that no one backs me except the listeners themselves. I'm not promoting any particular agenda. I'm not promoting any politics. I'm not being backed by a foundation or having a foundation or its NGOs covertly back me either. That happens with a lot of them too. So you keep me going by purchasing the things I have for sale on the web sites, the books, CDs and DVDs. I don't sell anything else apart from that. It's up to you to keep me going by donating to me or purchasing these particular items. [Options listed above.] It's up to yourselves how you want to do it. For those who just get the disks burned and passed to them, lots of them don't use computers out there but they get disks passed at meetings and talks, you can write to me at [address above] and that will get through to me.

You have to bear with me too. These last few weeks I've been getting my speed on the internet cut back deliberately by XplorNet. I'm paying for a higher speed and yet they've cut me back to probably less than the lower speed. It takes me sometimes 2 days to upload one night's show. That's how slow it is now. It keeps breaking as you're uploading so you have to start from scratch again, with the satellite-type uploads. Therefore, it's really, really time consuming but this is the sort of hassle you get when you're not authorized to be out there and you don't have any big boys backing you at all. The real world works by this kind of harassment. It's nothing that you can take to court and prove. They simply come out with their documentation and 'oh, it could have been the equipment, it could have been different departments within XplorNet that's not communicating to each other,' etc, etc and all that rubbish and they play that for years, so you can't take this kind of stuff to court. You know from the frequency of the hassles you get either through telephone, and I've had all that in the past, or through the servers and through Yahoo as well, that this is deliberate policy. THAT'S how they really get to you, but WE'LL CARRY ON REGARDLESS. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. Over the years I think every country in the Western world has seen its rights gradually chipped away. Its basic constitution shredded to pieces, for those countries that had constitutions. What they generally used at the time was high courts; the high courts and different courts would make verdicts and that became law. They circumvented the common laws and the constitutions and rights of the people by doing so. Step by step by step because we live in an INCREMENTAL AGENDA where people at the top, who are never mentioned in the media, control it all. We see the same thing happening with the government INSTITUTIONS, as they call them now. Institution, a very interesting term because it's a quasi-legality to it. We don't VOTE in institutions. They are kind of appointed by governments and we appoint agencies and so on. Government has been outsourcing so much from World War II basically, that it's really run in a sense by these outside agencies,

sometimes tied at the hip, sometimes apparent within Parliament or Congress but very often just simply remote. They just give out little decrees, like Royalty, and bypass any debate in a Parliamentary-type setting.

I've said before, I don't care if God himself came down and exposed the global warming scam for the fraud that it is, and it's a fraud with a good purpose because THE PURPOSE is to dominate the world and bring in a socialized world system. It's very akin to an upgraded communist system basically, where a tiny minority of an elite run riot OVER the public, live OFF the public, like Royalty - at the standards of Royalty - while the people below them are kept and going to suffer and sacrifice 'for the good of all'. That's how it always is presented to the worker bees at the bottom. We know this whole con game with global warming is simply that; it's a substitute. It's also a new economy where you really pass nothing around except blips on computer screens to do with carbon outputs and trading. What a great thing.

In the old days when they used gold to finance currency and even to back up paper money, fiat money, they used to have to ship it across seas and often they'd lose it in a storm and that kind of stuff, or it would be robbed by pirates who often returned it to the banker that sent it in the first place. They had all these deals going with them. This is even better than that. They went on to paper currency with nothing to back it. Then they went on to electronic money basically, which is just blips on a computer screen. Now we're going in to this CO2 trading. Now they don't can up the CO2 and ship it across to so-and-so when you buy carbon credits. That's not happening. It's a GREAT SCAM, a fantastic scam. It's better than fiat money. It's better because you're not actually moving anything anywhere. It's a big con game. 'It's a gas, man,' as they used to say back in the hippy days. It will work. Out of it too will come the derivative market and the same problems we have with bubbles. This time it will be a gas bubble... that no one can actually prick.

Getting back to my original point and how they use agencies and institutions to circumvent, often with the collusion of those IN government at the same time. The article from The Washington Post today, for instance, is on the EPA, the Environmental Protection Agency. It says...

## **EPA is preparing to regulate emissions in Congress's stead**

By Steven Mufson and David A. Fahrenthold / Washington Post Staff Writer / Tuesday, December 8, 2009

The Obama administration moved closer Monday to issuing regulations on greenhouse gases, a step that would enable it to limit emissions across the economy even if Congress does not pass climate legislation. (*Alan: In other words, it's a done deal. We'll get it in this way or we'll get it in that way.*)

The move, which coincided with the first day of the international climate summit in Copenhagen, seemed timed to reassure delegates there that the United States is committed to reducing its emissions even if domestic legislation remains bogged down. But it provoked condemnation from key Republicans and from U.S. business groups, which vowed to tie up any regulations in litigation.

In Monday's much-anticipated announcement, the Environmental Protection Agency said that six gases, including carbon dioxide and methane, pose a danger to the environment and the health of Americans (*A: These are the guys that say it's okay to drink radioactive water! and stuff with arsenic in it too. So it shouldn't surprise us as everything is a farce isn't it.*) and that the agency would start drawing up regulations to reduce those emissions. (*A: Of, you know, the gasses. 6 gases. So they're not just stopping with one, they've got 6 now.*)

"These are reasonable, common-sense steps," EPA Administrator Lisa P. Jackson said, adding that they would protect the environment "without placing an undue burden on the businesses that make up the better part of our economy." At the same time, however, EPA regulation is no one's preferred outcome -- not even the EPA's. Jackson said her agency and other administration officials would still prefer if Congress acted before they did. (*A: That's kind of like the gun to your head idea isn't it? Since when did agencies start threatening the government... and getting away with it? Hmm?*)

Sen. John F. Kerry (D-Mass.), a leading proponent of a Senate climate bill, issued a statement after the EPA's announcement saying, "The message to Congress is crystal clear: Get moving." (*A: He gave a speech too at Yale University and by God, you think he's a fanatic, I tell you, you ain't seen nothing yet. Really, the only word for them is the old lefty communists basically, which I don't think disappeared at all. I think they moved into their next phase for global socialism as they said they would in fact. Nothing surprising in this day and age is there? Nothing surprising.*)

On the Times Online...

## **'Climategate' at centre stage as Copenhagen opens**

From Times Online December 7, 2009

*(A: Remember too, that these are all the big foundations that have got their front NGOs there at the moment before all the big politicians and politicians come in. They set up the round table societies under the Royal Institute of International Affairs and CFR. They hammer out ways to get all these things presented to the politicians when they come in the door, even though, remember, they already signed the major bill 6 months ago at the World Business Meeting under the United Nations. But they have to find ways to implement that. All these thousands of NGOs are all financed by the big foundations to go over there and they also get grants from the government too, by the way. Governments like to hear the crowd demanding exactly what they want them to demand. So much for democracy, eh? It's just a joke. It says here...)*

The "Climategate" row took centre stage on the opening day of the Copenhagen climate summit today as the world's leading oil exporter intervened to question the scientific consensus on man-made global warming.

As 15,000 delegates from 192 nations began what was billed as the "last, best chance" to avert a catastrophic rise in sea and air temperatures (*A: After the*

flu outbreak.), Saudi Arabia's chief climate negotiator, Mohammed al-Sabban, spoke from the floor to say that e-mails hacked from a UK research centre had shaken trust in the work of scientists. (A: *It's amazing, you know, it should be shaking the trust all over the place. It shows you how everything is controlled across the Western world.*)

He was not the first to mention the Climategate scandal. In his opening address to the conference, Rajendra Pachauri, chairman of the Nobel prize-winning Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), said the hackers had been trying to undermine the work of his organisation. (A: *Well, generally scientific data is open to all the scientific community for critical analysis. It's not undermining it; it's called CRITICAL ANALYSIS to ensure that all the founding facts as they are presented are accurate... since you're going to build this monster on top of it. If it's fake, bogus statistics or facts to start with, then there are no facts at all and the whole tower should really crumble down. So that's what they are saying, it's really attempts to undermine the work of HIS organization.*)

But he said that the science as presented in the IPCC's last assessment report two years ago was clear and incontrovertible and covered water shortages and rising sea levels. (A: *They've been harping on about these rising sea levels since I was a child... and it hasn't happened yet. In fact, they've been building holiday resorts on lots of Pacific islands and different places, right on the shores now, because it hasn't happened. I read an article about that not so long ago on the air. It's amazing isn't it? But they keep harping on and harping on. Here's a quote, I keep quoting this from Bertrand Russell, a big player in all of this. He knew you could convince the public of anything. He worked for the big foundations in his time. He was a top socialist too. He wanted a complete totalitarian type system across the world. He says, "There's no nonsense so arrant that it can not be made the creed," the belief, "of the vast majority by adequate government action." That's what he said. All they use is repetition, repetition, repetition of lies, lies, lies, repeated lies, until they just hammer you down into the earth with it. It doesn't matter what the facts are, lies are good enough for them and repetition. Back to the article; he claims that the science can not be disputed and according to him the rising sea levels are still going on. He obviously hasn't visited those islands.*)

"Given the wide-ranging nature of change that is likely to be taken in hand, some naturally find it inconvenient to accept its **inevitability**," Dr Pachauri said.

"The recent incident of stealing the e-mails of scientists at the University of East Anglia shows that some would go to the extent of carrying out illegal acts, perhaps in an attempt to discredit the IPCC. (A: *Who discredited every other scientist who reputed the CRAP that they were coming out with. Back with more after this break.*)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. Some years ago people like George Orwell talked about the coming system. Of course, we know that Aldous Huxley did too long before, even in the 30s when he was putting out **Brave New World**, a vision of the future basically. He belonged to a very elite

group in Britain who wanted world socialism. You've got to understand, as Orwell said, "Some are more equal than others in such utopias." People like the Huxley's who were related to the Darwin's, interbred of course, as the Darwin's were themselves to the Wedgwood's and whose descendants are still running Parliament in Britain, under the Benn's. Regardless, they said that they themselves of course would lead the world and they wouldn't change themselves, to steer the world. They would have to keep their faculties and still be wild in a sense. The wild man has his ability for SELF-PRESERVATION and makes decisions that would mean life or death in very quick instances, very fast instances.

Huxley talked about his Brave New World and how they could, through genetic manipulations, create different kinds of workers, a different strata of workers for different functions, all that kind of stuff. He got it all from the big think tanks he was involved in that were comprised of scientists from Cambridge and Oxford and other places. That's where it was coming from. It wasn't some idea that he came up with himself. Back in the 30s they KNEW this was feasible to bring in this kind of world system.

In Orwell's book **1984**, he understood the Huxley's. He knew the Huxley's; he knew all these people. He knew where they were coming from. He'd been recruited into the same group from Cambridge to help all these types of people. He understood the agenda and he turned against it. All the socialists, at all the socialist meetings he used to attend and speak at, turned around; they turned their backs on him immediately. That's how they are. They shun you immediately when you're not parroting the proper slogans. He told the public what they were REALLY all about. What he said in **1984**, when the main character Winston was talking about the proles, being the ordinary people in democracies, let's call it democracies, the ordinary people, they would overcome all this. The managerial class, the bureaucratic class, the EXPERT class always dismissed it throughout the book. They says, 'Oh no, the proles don't count.' It didn't really matter what the proles thought, "the proles don't count."

Remember, getting back to Bertrand Russell, Lord Bertrand Russell who wanted world communistic socialism with his own class running it all at the top, said that they could bring that system in, a form of world socialism, and bypass public opinion by having an EXPERT class for everything. Experts then would REPLACE the public's attitudes or demands. The experts would TELL the public what they wanted or needed. That has happened. We live in the age now of experts on everything. They con us left, right and center. It's the same as the flu shots. All these experts, all the mainstream media, 'we're all gonna die, we're all gonna die. Pharma, save us. Here, take these billions of dollars.' As soon as they got the money, it fffftttt... dies off just like that. Con, time after time and they'll do the same the next time... and the next time.

It gets us back to public opinion and the proles don't count. I've said this over and over. This is from the Council on Foreign Relations. Now, the Council on Foreign Relations is the American BRANCH of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, a PRIVATE organization ABOVE democracy, by the way. It doesn't really BELIEVE in democracy, never did, set up by the founders, Cecil Rhodes and Rothschilds, the bankers, with the other bankers Lord Milner and others. They became the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the CFR, on the other side

of the Atlantic. This article on their web site at the CFR it says...

## **Does Public Opinion Matter?**

*(A: That's how brazen it all is now. It doesn't matter what you think.)*

### **World Attitudes on Global Governance**

Speakers: Stewart M. Patrick, Senior Fellow And Director, International Institutions On Global Governance Program, Council On Foreign Relations

Steven G. Kull, Director, Program On International Policy Attitudes,  
Worldpublicopinion.org

December 4, 2009, Washington D.C. / Council on Foreign Relations

*(A: The Council on Foreign Relations, this private foundational body that pretends that it doesn't interfere in politics but runs it all, is part of this whole thing. They know the general public don't count and they've already got a massive organization set up within it of their own experts and propagandists to bring in global governance. They've had it up there for years. They go through this speech and so on and they talk about all the polls that they constantly take with the US and different countries. It boils down to the fact that they're absolutely confident now from the polls they're getting in that most people in the world are ready for global governance. They don't really care... as long as it brings in world peace. You know, that communist term 'world peace' was LACK OF ALL OPPOSITION, especially people who think. They give you all the quotes in this particular site, their web site itself. They don't do these projects for nothing. It's to BOLSTER THEIR OWN WORK to see that we're on track, everything is working on time, on queue. Back with more after this break.)*

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading from a web site in fact, from the Council on Foreign Relations about their polling of different peoples and they claim that people are pretty well ready to accept global governance, as they call it. It's the same term they used for the European Union, GOVERNANCE. The European Union of course has turned into this dictatorial body where people at the top meet in secret and lay down the laws and you can't contradict them. In fact, one of the leaders said it was heresy, HERESY for anybody to *contradict or complain* about the European Parliament. What do you expect in totalitarian systems? They always come out and say 'it's for the good of all. We all have to sacrifice together for the common good.' Then you end up getting dominated by a tiny minority that lives intergenerationally on the backs of the rest of you for as long as they have the system underway, until they've raped and pillaged the whole darn nation.

Getting back to the Council on Foreign Relations, remember, that was set up by the big banking system, the international money lenders. Guys who Professor Carroll Quigley actually said not only financed the wars, the planned the major wars, every single one, since the late 1800s and he was *the historian* FOR THEM. He got this from their own records. He was all for it and their idea because he was an elitist himself, believing academia would be exempt from all the poverty that the rest of the people would be subjected to, under such utopias. So this article here, as they're going on about global governance and their poll-taking on the countries that they tested it out on, it says here...

We found some -- if I can give you a sense of some of the headline findings,

one of the striking things that we found is just how similar many publics around the worlds are in terms of the sort of world order (A: *Now listen to this...*) **that they want.** (A: *Have any of you ever, ever, ever been asked to even be involved and give your opinion on a world order and what YOU think about it or what you want? Have you? No, of course not.*) They're extremely multilaterally inclined; they believe in a world order based on international law (A: *That's what the UN is all about; they always say 'under law.'* No one ever says, *explain this law to us.*); they believe **countries should obey international law even if it goes against their national interests.** (A: *Have YOU been asked about that? Have you? Of course not. This is someone speaking to their choir. Everybody in there has to BE ASKED in after they've vetted you and watched you for years. They make sure you've got the right background, you'll be involved in the right causes, generally hereditary ones as well, and you have to belong to the right families too; it's a very important thing. These guys are snobs at the top, under the guise of collectivism. Then they go on to suggest that...*)

They are strongly inclined to make the United States a major -- excuse me, the United Nations the major **bedrock of international order.**

That's the kind of rubbish they teach to their followers, the rah-rah team, but the public are never, ever considered. We never get a referendum on anything; what do you think? Don't you think you should have a plebian vote, where something so major as anything at all to do with you or your livelihood, you should get a vote on it? Of course they don't want that. They wouldn't even give that to most of the European countries to do with that agreement they signed, supposedly, and had rammed down them, especially the last one, Ireland, to go along with the European Union and its new charter.

Now here's what's happened to Britain for instance, that literally was lied along to for years and years, lied along with this trading consortium of Europe that turned into the European Parliament, as it was intended to do from the very beginning. Everybody at the top knew it except ordinary people. What people in Europe would say is that 'you have to sort of give up your sovereignty, you'll have to get this multi-cultural idea too and stop being who you are.' Just toss it out the window, thousands of years, toss it out the window and CONFORM to the new system that we're giving you... OR ELSE. Here's what happened to Britain.

### **It's a return to the Star Chamber as Europe finally tramples Magna Carta into the dust**

(A: *The Star Chamber is when the King sat before advisors and tried all things in secret. The GATT Treaty is done the same way. The General Agreement of Trade and Tariffs has set up a court and what ever they decide within that SEALED court - it never gets leaked out to the public, and it's God's law to them, God's law - it can not be questioned. There are no appeals, nothing; that's it. They decide who gets to trade with whom across the planet. Of course it keeps all the little guys out. Nothing changes in history really. Nothing really... the chameleon can always change its color, under a new cause.*)

If you have a spare evening, read the Magna Carta. It is a restraining



document. What leaps out from the pages of Langton's text is the intent to protect subjects from overweening authority (in this case, Norman-French despotism), by restoring ancient freedoms.

By Ambrose Evans-Pritchard / 6 Dec 2009 / The Telegraph

I have a copy dated MDCCLXVI (1766) left to me by my father, and to him by his father. The customary law is Saxon, Celtic, even Visigoth.

"All men in our Kingdom have and hold the aforesaid liberties and rights, well and in peace, freely and quietly, fully and wholly, **for ever**." (A: *You know, new world orders don't like that term 'forever'... they really don't like that at all. That would kind of hold back the agenda.*)

"No free man shall be taken or imprisoned, or outlawed, or exiled, or in any way destroyed, unless by lawful judgment of his peers." (A: *HIS peers, right, his peers.*)

"No constable or bailiff shall take another man's corn or chattels without immediate payment, nor take any horses or any man's timber for castles." (A: *Because that's what they used to do. Now they just grab it all for back taxes, for land taxes, property taxes, or other kinds of taxes, or because your septic tank isn't up to snuff with the new laws that come from the United Nations. Just grab it all... same thing, the same thing happens.*)

"Any one may leave the Kingdom and return at will, unless in time of war, when he may be restrained for some short space for the common good".

Here is a nice one, as the Square Mile falls under the control EU authorities with "binding powers".

"The City of London shall have all its ancient liberties and free customs." Merchants should be free from "evil tolls". (A: *...and tariffs and stuff.*)

The founding texts of the English Constitution – charter, petition, bill of rights – have one theme in common: they create nothing. They assert old freedoms; they restore lost harmony. In this they guided America's Revolution, itself a codification of early colonial liberties.

Europe's Constitution – the Lisbon Treaty, as we know it – began as a sort of Magna Carta. (A: *It BEGAN as one.*) EU leaders agreed at Laeken in 2001 that the Project needed restraining after Danes and Swedes rejected EMU, the Irish rejected Nice, and youth torched Gothenburg in anti-EU riots.

People do not want Europe inveigling its way into "every nook and cranny of life", they said. Needless to say, insiders hijacked the process. A Hegelian monstrosity emerged. The text says much about the heightened powers of EU bodies, but scarcely a word to restrain EU bailiffs and constables.

The Charter of Fundamental Rights – legally binding in the UK as of Tuesday, when Lisbon came into force – asserts that the **EU has the authority to circumscribe all rights and freedoms**. (A: *Go around all freedoms and rights, this new, beautiful tyranny they have there.*)

The text was modified after I threw a tantrum in the Daily Telegraph during the drafting process, comparing it to the "general interest" clause used by Fascist regimes to crush dissent in the 1930s.

Article 52 now reads: "Subject to the principle of proportionality, limitations may be made only if they are necessary and genuinely meet objectives of general interest recognised by the Union."

Don't be misled by this inverted wording. What it states is that the EU may indeed limit rights in the "general interest". In other words, our Magna Carta has been superceded.

It is the European Court (ECJ) that decides what is "proportional" or "necessary", and it cannot be trusted. The ECJ behaves like the Star Chamber of Charles I, as I learned following three cases where it rubber-stamped the abuse of state power against whistleblowers Bernard Connolly and Marta Andreasen, and German journalist Hans-Martin Tillack.

Mr Tillack was arrested by Belgian police and held incommunicado for ten hours. Incommunicado on the basis of a fabricated allegation by two EU officials. (*A: I call them Gestapo or Stasi, it doesn't matter.*) Police went through his notes and computers, identifying his network of informants inside the EU apparatus. (*A: So anyone who is investigating the EU, this is what they do to you.*)

Mr Tillack took the case to the ECJ. **It ruled in favour of the system. It always does.** (*A: Always does.*)

That's what America is to be under too with the unification of the Americas. First Europe, then the Americas; they are already working with the Pacific Rim Groups. They've been working there since the 1930s according to the Royal Institute of International Affairs' own web site. Amalgamation, amalgamation, amalgamation... THESE ARE THE REGIONS THAT KARL MARX TALKED ABOUT IN THE 1800s. The trading regions that had all been previously known as different countries, then they would regionalize them, give them a single parliament for each region and then the single parliaments would really be provincial parliaments under a world government system, which is of course at least the United Nations as it stands right now. It might morph into some new thing, with an all-seeing-eye no doubt above it.

Here's an article. It's from Reuters.

## **Climate talk collapse better for planet: NASA's Hansen**

LONDON / Thu Dec 3, 2009

LONDON (Reuters) - The planet would be better off if the forthcoming Copenhagen climate change talks ended in collapse, according to a leading U.S. scientist who helped alert the world to dangers of global warming.

*(A: Now who is talking about this? Mr. Hansen of NASA. If you want a fervent nutter who's utterly dedicated to the agenda, where scientists should*

*rule over the planet, this is the guy here. Remember, I talked about him a few days ago when he was arrested at different demonstrations trying to close down mines and all the other means of alternate energy. He literally says that he doesn't want something to be the same as the previous Copenhagen agreement because they'll drag their feet on it. He wants IMMEDIATE ACTION, totalitarian action, to save the world.)*

Any agreement likely to emerge from the negotiations would be so deeply flawed, said James Hansen, that it would be better for future generations if we were to start again from scratch.

"I would rather it not happen if people accept that as being the right track because it's a disaster track," Hansen, who heads the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies in New York, told the Guardian newspaper.

Don't you see how this fanatical politicization of agencies is not a very safe or comfortable thing to have? You see that? Do you understand NASA is supposed to be *non-political*? But the head of every organization that does anything at all OVER us - over us, I repeat; literally, in the case of NASA - should not be politicized, with its belief systems, in a world socialist state, with the priesthood of science handing out the law. Believe you me, if you want totalitarianism in the most horrific way, as Bertrand Russell says, "I believe there will be a scientific dictatorship" he says, "It will be absolutely murderous" and completely intolerant but Russell says "I'd rather have that than any other kind of dictatorship." Well, this is the kind of character I'm talking about here; this guy is a nut case.

You thought it was bad in Nazi Germany or the Soviet Union, where millions were slaughtered? All totalitarian regimes are the same, ALL THE SAME. The scientific priesthood with all their fudged facts and figures will simply give them more power to slaughter, through 'historical necessity,' as the communists called it. Slaughter millions of people to save the world, mind you. That's the mess we are in.

It's so bad they've already got the children brainwashed in schools BEFORE the Copenhagen meeting, YEARS before the Copenhagen meeting. PEOPLE BETTER WAKE UP VERY, VERY FAST... BEFORE THE HORROR REALLY STARTS COMING DOWN. It will. It will come down in earnest, especially when they start rationing food and all the other things they've got planned for you.

Socialism is so wonderful, so wonderful because again, it's the greater, 'the greater good for the greatest amount of people,' as they push it; if you're not amongst the greatest number amount of people, tough luck. It's like the shots that they give you now. Well, you might be one in a thousand that drops dead with it. 'We feel sorry for you but we can't dwell on these unfortunate incidents.' That's how they go on. It's the same thing as Stalin said, 'well, you know those Ukrainians are so self-sufficient, they even WEAVE their own cloth for God sake; they don't want factories; we've got to teach them a lesson.' But they were the main producer for their crops and food since they killed all the farmers in Russia, 'so we've got to get all of our grain from them. So what we'll do, we'll take all their grain from them as we starve them to death.' They starved over 7,000,000, 7 MILLION people to death BY POLICY. I was called 'the need for historical necessity.' For history to continue on, then it's historical necessity. That's how it

goes down in the books. When they killed the thousands, about 8,000-10,000 Polish officers, the Soviets put it down to the same thing. 'Well, it's historical necessity; if we let them live, my God, they'll go back in and contaminate people with all their old memories of how it used to be.' Boomf. That mindset is still way up there. Right now you'll meet a lot of them at Copenhagen.

Here's what you get when you have socialism. I've read enough articles of what's happened in Britain because now MEDICINE is under POLITICAL POLICY. Don't forget that. Politics, when it gets involved in anything, has a policy, a policy for that particular agency. This is Canada again...

## **N.S. (A: Nova Scotia) man angry hospital wouldn't help father having heart attack in parking lot**

Fri Dec 4 / By Alison Auld, The Canadian Press / Yahoo News

HALIFAX, N.S. - An elderly woman with a cane and a heart condition was told to bring her husband into a Nova Scotia hospital on her own or call 911 (A: *In the car park.*) after he suffered a heart attack 10 metres (A: *30 feet.*) from the facility's front door, the couple's son said Friday. (A: *Back with more after this break.*)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, just showing Americans what they can look forward to once the socialized medicine comes in. By the way, I also have the RAND report on that because the US government asked RAND to do a massive survey on bringing in this health care and where they can CUT back all different kinds of care. I might read that one night; it's fascinating. It's just like Britain; I think they copied Britain completely.

Presently, this article I'm reading now is from Yahoo news, about a Nova Scotia man having a heart attack less than 30 feet from the hospital door and they wouldn't come out and get him in. They told the elderly wife, who had a pacemaker herself, either to bring him in herself or call the paramedics. The paramedics were all based across the street, all the vans there. Why? Because... it's POLICY. In a socialized country, they have meetings for everything. They talk just the same way they did in Britain about the policies. They'd have all these meetings and everybody put their word in and that would all be documented in the minutes and so on. By the time it's finished, it's like some legalistic maze that you can't make head nor tail of. That's what you get with socialism. They can't say anything straightforwardly on anything. It's always 'pertaining to subsection 2, paragraph blah, blah, blah, except in cases where blah, blah, blah' and it goes on like that. So you stand outside a hospital and you DIE. Luckily this guy survived, but no thanks to the hospital.

Mind you, they're lucky to have doctors there; where I live here you can't get one. You have walk-in clinics. You're faceless, you see. You're a number; you're actually given a number when you walk in the door... like a COW, you can always wear it around your neck, it's big enough, like something at an auction block. They don't care who you are. They don't want to know who you are, if you're lucky to see a doctor that is and you wait 6 or 7 hours. Sometimes they're called back to the hospital and they tell you to come back tomorrow or the next day or the next day. That's socialized medicine. Canada spends about as much on advertising *how wonderful* our medical system is as they do actually getting money in to it. I

read an article about that not so long ago. That's socialism. It's all PRETENSE and PROPAGANDA.

Yeah, I do tend to go on and an hour isn't very long enough at all to get so much out or get so much off your mind, you might say. That's what this is, is getting stuff off your mind hoping someone will remember it long after I'm dead. That's what you have to do is PASS INFORMATION ON TO THE YOUTH who are really... you've got to understand the incredible brainwashing they are under. PARENTS HAVE TO GET IN TOUCH WITH THEM AND REALIZE THE INDOCTRINATION THEY GET IS ALMOST PERFECT, PERFECT INDOCTRINATION. The same way as we saw little Disney shows with Bambi and what did Bambi say? The mum and dad of Bambi were going through the woods because they were scared. Why? Because MAN was hunting them. MAN WAS BAD. Well they're getting taught the same stuff about how BAD MAN IS with the environment. BAD MAN ruined the environment for the up-and-coming youth and it's their world, you see. They use psychological techniques through all the little videos that they show them, giving them PART of every story but never ALL of ANY story... to brainwash them into TRUE up-and-coming GREEN-SHIRT FANATICS. God help us all.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

## **Topics of show covered in following links:**

### [EPA to Regulate Emissions Regardless of Congress](#)

("EPA is preparing to regulate emissions in Congress's stead" by Steven Mufson and David A. Fahrenthold (washingtonpost.com) - Dec. 8, 2009.)

### ["US declares greenhouse gases are threat to public health" by Tom Leonard \(telegraph.co.uk\) - April 20, 2009.](#)

### [Saudi Negotiator Blows Cold Air over Copenhagen's Climate Fanatics](#)

("Climategate at centre stage as Copenhagen opens" (timesonline.co.uk) - Dec. 7, 2009.)

### [Does Public Opinion Matter?-World Attitudes on Global Governance CFR](#)

(Council on Foreign Relations (cfr.org) - Dec. 4, 2009.)

### [Magna Carta Scrapped by Euro-Parliament](#)

("It's a return to the Star Chamber as Europe finally tramples Magna Carta into the dust" by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard (telegraph.co.uk) - Dec. 6, 2009.)

### [NASA Wants Energy Taxes to Combat "Global Warming"](#)

("Climate talk collapse better for planet: NASA's Hansen" (reuters.com) - Dec. 3, 2009.)

### [Nova Scotia-Hospital Refused to Treat Man with Heart Attack-At Hospital's Front Door](#)

("N.S. man angry hospital wouldn't help father having heart attack in parking lot" by Alison Auld (at ngnews.ca) - Dec. 4, 2009.)

## **Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:**

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."  
Volumes 1, 2, 3      Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:  
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:      &      Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)  
Part 1 (1998) and  
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1"      &      "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"